

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 97, 46–55 (1983)

On the Stability of Perturbations of Optimal Control Problems

CHEN GUANG-YA

*Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica,
Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China*

Submitted by George Leitmann

1. INTRODUCTION

Cullum [1, 2] considered the perturbations of optimal control problems. Let P be an optimal control problem describable by a system of ordinary differential equations and with an integral cost functional. Perturb the system of differential equations associated with P , the boundary conditions, and the control sets in such a way that the alterations are describable by a single parameter ε . Denote the corresponding problem generated from P by $P(\varepsilon)$. If optimal solutions exist for $P(\varepsilon)$, what is the relationship between these and the optimal solutions of the original problem $P = P(0)$?

Kirilova [3] considered this question for a particular linear, time-optimal control problem. Kirilova proved that, given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, the optimal times for the corresponding problems $P(\varepsilon_n)$ converge to the optimal times for $P(0)$, and the corresponding sequence of optimal controls converges in measure to the optimal control for P . Cullum's papers extend the results to the above problems. If $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(x(n), u(n), l_n, a_n, b_n)$ is any sequence of admissible trajectories for the corresponding problems $P(\varepsilon_n)$, then there exists (x_0, u_0, l_0, a, b) such that x_0 is an admissible trajectory for $P(0)$ with control u_0 , and $l_n \rightarrow l_0$, $(a_n, b_n) \rightarrow (a_0, b_0)$, $x_n(t)$ converges to $x_0(t)$ for each $t \in l_0$, and there exist measurable extensions \bar{u}_n of u_n to l_0 such that \bar{u}_n converges to u_0 in the weak topology of $L_2(l_0)$. If P has a unique optimal solution (x_0, u_0) , then there exist similar results for minimizing sequences.

For the relationship between optimal solutions of $P(\varepsilon)$ and optimal solutions of $P(0)$ we can put forward the problem in another way. If u_0 is an optimal solution of $P(0)$, do any optimal solutions of $P(\varepsilon)$ exist in each neighborhood of u_0 ? In other words, given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, does any sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of optimal solutions of $P(\varepsilon_n)$ exist such that u_n converges to u_0 ?

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

We consider the control systems

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= \hat{f}(\varepsilon, x(t), u(t), t) \quad \text{a.e.}, \\ x(0) &= x_0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

$x(t) \in E^n$ denotes the state, $u(t) \in E^m$ the control, where E^n, E^m are n -dimensional Euclidean space and m -dimensional Euclidean space, respectively. $I = [0, T]$ is a fixed interval in E^1 . For each $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, $U(\varepsilon) \subset E^m$, $u: [0, T] \rightarrow E^m$, are measurable vector functions such that $u(t) \in U(\varepsilon)$. u is called an admissible control function of control system (2.1). The corresponding solutions (x, I) of (2.1) are called admissible trajectories. For a fixed $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$, the optimal control problem $P(\varepsilon)$ is to give an admissible control u and corresponding admissible trajectory x to minimize the following cost functional

$$\int_0^T f_0(\varepsilon, x(t), u(t), t) dt.\tag{2.2}$$

Several symbols are introduced as follows:

$$f(\varepsilon, x, u, t) = (f_0(\varepsilon, x, u, t), \hat{f}(\varepsilon, x, u, t));\tag{2.3}$$

for any number $\alpha > 0$,

$$U(U(0), \alpha) = \{v \in E^m \mid |u - v| < \alpha, u \in U(0)\},\tag{2.4}$$

$$S_0 = \{u \in L_2(I) \mid u(t) \in U(0), \text{ a.e.}\},\tag{2.5}$$

$$S_\varepsilon = \{u \in L_2(I) \mid u(t) \in U(\varepsilon), \text{ a.e.}\},\tag{2.6}$$

$$(S_0, \alpha) = \{u \in L_2(I) \mid u(t) \in U(U(0), \alpha), \text{ a.e.}\}.\tag{2.7}$$

The global assumptions are:

(a) Let $\{U(\varepsilon) \subset E^m\}$ be a family of compact convex subsets of E^m , which multivalued mapping from $[0, T]$ into E^m is both upper semicontinuous and lower semicontinuous at $\varepsilon = 0$;

(b) For each $\varepsilon \in [0, T]$, $f(\varepsilon, x, u, t)$ is continuous on $E^n \times U(U(0), \alpha)$ for each t and measurable in t for each (x, u) , where $(x, u, t) \in [0, I] \times E^n \times U(U(0), \alpha)$. For all $(\varepsilon, x, u) \in [0, 1] \times E^n \times U(U(0), \alpha)$,

$$|f(\varepsilon, x, u, t)| \leq \mu(t), \quad \text{a.e.},\tag{2.8}$$

where $\mu(t) \in L_1(I)$. Given $\varepsilon \in [0, I]$ for all $u \in U(U(0), \alpha)$ and $x', x'' \in E^n$,

$$|\hat{f}(\varepsilon, x', u, t) - \hat{f}(\varepsilon, x'', u, t)| \leq \lambda(t) |x' - x''|, \quad \text{a.e.},\tag{2.9}$$

where $\lambda(t) \in L_1(I)$. For all $u \in U(U(0), \alpha)$, and $x', x'' \in E^n$,

$$|f_0(0, x', u, t) - f_0(0, x'', u, t)| \leq \gamma(t) |x' - x''|, \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\gamma(t) \in L_1(I)$.

Remark 2.1. The inequality (2.10) of condition (b) can be relaxed as follows. For each $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{F}_t = \{f_0(0, x, u, t) | u \in U(U(0), \alpha)\}$ is a family of functions of x . \mathcal{F}_t is equicontinuous on E^n .

(c) For almost every $t \in I$, $f_0(\varepsilon, x, u, t)$ converges to $f_0(0, x, u, t)$ uniformly on $E^n \times U(U(0), \alpha)$. $\hat{f}(\varepsilon, x, u, t)$ converges to $\hat{f}(0, x, u, t)$ uniformly on $E^n \times U(U(0), \alpha) \times I$.

The differential equations (2.1) are a Caratheodory system, which has a unique solution on I .

By Lemma 7 [1] and condition (a), S_0, S_ε are weakly closed convex subsets of $L_2(I)$.

3. LIMITING PROPERTY OF ADMISSIBLE CONTROL SET

In this section we consider a limiting property of S_ε at $\varepsilon = 0$. First, several definitions will be made.

Let X, Y be metric spaces, ρ metric functions, and M a multivalued mapping from X into Y .

DEFINITION 1. The mapping M is said to be upper semicontinuous at x_0 if and only if

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \forall \delta \in R^+ \\ \forall (x_n \in X)_{n \rightarrow x_0} \end{array} \right) (\exists n_0 \in N)(\forall n \geq n_0) \Rightarrow M(x_n) \subset U(M(x_0), \delta).$$

The mapping M is said to be lower semicontinuous at x_0 if and only if

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \forall \delta \in R^+ \\ \forall (x_n \in X)_{n \rightarrow x_0} \end{array} \right) (\exists n_0 \in N)(\forall n \geq n_0) \Rightarrow M(x_0) \subset U(M(x_n), \delta).$$

DEFINITION 2. The mapping M is said to be closed if and only if

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \forall (x_n \in X)_{n \rightarrow x_0} \\ \forall (y_n \in M(x_n))_{n \rightarrow y_0} \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow y_0 \in M(x_0).$$

The mapping M is said to be open if and only if

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \forall (x_n \in X)_{n \rightarrow x_0} \\ \forall y_0 \in M(x_0) \end{array} \right) (\exists (y_n \in M(x_n))_{n \rightarrow y_0}).$$

LEMMA 1. *If the family of sets $\{U(\varepsilon) | \varepsilon \in [0, 1]\}$ satisfies condition (a), then, given $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the corresponding family $\{S_\varepsilon\}$ is both closed and opened at $\varepsilon = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 of [2], given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, $(u_n \in S_{\varepsilon_n})_N$ converges weakly to u_0 , then $u_0 \in S_0$. Obviously, if $(u_n \in S_{\varepsilon_n})_N$ converges strongly to u_0 , we have still $u_0 \in S_0$. Hence $\{S_\varepsilon\}$ is a closed at $\varepsilon = 0$. (Henceforth we denote S_{ε_n} by S_n .)

For any $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and any $\delta > 0$, by condition (a) there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$U(U(\varepsilon_n), \delta/\sqrt{T}) \supset U(0) \quad (3.1)$$

We denote

$$(S_n, \delta/\sqrt{T}) = \{u \in L_2[I] | u(t) \in U(U(\varepsilon_n), \delta/\sqrt{T}), \text{ a.e.}\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$U(S_n, \delta) = \{v \in L_2[I] | \|v - u\| < \delta, u \in S_n\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Obviously, $(S_n, \delta/\sqrt{T}) \supset S_0 \forall n \geq n_0$. We find the following inference: For $n \geq n_0$,

$$U(S_n, \delta) \supset (S_n, \delta/\sqrt{T}) \supset S_0. \quad (3.4)$$

For any $u \in (S_n, \delta/\sqrt{T})$ we have

$$u(t) \in U(U(\varepsilon_n), \delta/\sqrt{T}) \text{ a.e.}$$

Given $n \geq n_0$, we now set

$$I_1 = \{t \in I | u(t) \in U(U(\varepsilon_n), \delta/\sqrt{T})\},$$

$$I_1^1 = \{t \in I_1 | u(t) \in U(\varepsilon_n)\},$$

$$I_1^2 = \{t \in I_1 | u(t) \in U(\varepsilon_n)\}.$$

We construct the function \bar{u}_n

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_n(t) &= u(t), & t \in I_1^1, \\ &= d_n(t), & t \in I_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $|d_n(t) - u(t)| = \min_{v(t) \in U(\varepsilon_n)} |v(t) - u(t)|$, $t \in I_1^2$. The existence of $d_n(t)$ is trivial. We are going to prove the measurability of $d_n(t)$. Because $U(\varepsilon_n)$ is the bounded closed set of E^m and $u(t)$ is measurable, then I_1^1 is a measurable set of E^1 . Hence $I_1^2 = I_1 - I_1^1$ is still a measurable set, and the function $u(t)$ can be approximated by a sequence of simple functions. The projection of a simple function on $U(\varepsilon_n)$ is still a simple function. Therefore, $d_n(t)$ is approx-

imated by the sequence of the simple functions and $d_n(t)$ is the measurable function on I_1^2 . As result we have $\tilde{u}_n \in S_n$. It suffices that we prove that $y \in U(S_n, \delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_n - u\| &= \left(\int_{I_1^1} |\tilde{u}_n(t) - u(t)|^2 dt + \int_{I_1^2} |d_n(t) - u(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{I_1^2} |d_n(t) - u(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} < \left(\int_{I_1^2} (\delta/\sqrt{T})^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \delta; \end{aligned}$$

that is, $u \in U(S_n, \delta)$ and $U(S_n, \delta) \supset (S_n, \delta/\sqrt{T})$.

To sum up, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$U(S_n, \delta) \supset S_0.$$

Hence for a fixed $u_0 \in S_0$ there exist $u_n \in S_n$ such that $\|u_n - u_0\| < \delta$. Obviously, there exists a sequence $(u_n \in S_n)_N$ and $u_n \rightarrow u_0$; that is, the mapping S_ε is open at $\varepsilon = 0$.

4. THE STABILITY OF PERTURBATION

We denote the solutions of Eq. (2.1) by $x(\varepsilon, u, t)$. Then the cost functional (2.2) is a functional of the control u ,

$$J(\varepsilon, u) = \int_0^T f_0(\varepsilon, x(\varepsilon, u, t), u(t), t) dt. \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, the optimal control problems $P(0)$, $P(\varepsilon)$, and following optimization problems are equivalent, respectively,

$$P(0): \min_{u \in S_0} J(0, u),$$

$$P(\varepsilon): \min_{u \in S_n} J(\varepsilon, u).$$

DEFINITION 3. $\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_\varepsilon$ are global minimums of $P(0)$, $P(\varepsilon)$, respectively, if $u_0 \in S_0, \bar{u}_\varepsilon \in S_\varepsilon$, and

$$J(0, \bar{u}_0) \leq J(0, u), \quad \forall u \in S_0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$J(\varepsilon, \bar{u}_\varepsilon) \leq J(\varepsilon, u), \quad \forall u \in S_\varepsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

DEFINITION 4. $\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_\varepsilon$, are local minimums of $P(0), P(\varepsilon)$, respectively, if $\bar{u}_0 \in S_0, \bar{u}_\varepsilon \in S_\varepsilon$, and there exist open neighborhoods G_0, G_ε , such that

$$J(0, u_0) \leq J(\varepsilon, u), \quad \forall u \in S_0 \cap G_0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$J(\varepsilon, \bar{u}_\varepsilon) \leq J(\varepsilon, u), \quad \forall u \in S_\varepsilon \cap G_\varepsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

LEMMA 2. Assume that S_0 contains at least two points u_0, u_1 such that $\|u_0 - u_1\| = d > 0$, where d is any positive number. For any $\omega, 0 < \omega < d/2$, given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$S'_0 = \{u | u \in S_0, \|u - u_0\| = \omega\} \neq \emptyset,$$

$$S'_n = \{u | u \in S_n, \|u - u_0\| = \omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Given $0 < \omega < d/2$, $u_1 \in \bar{B}(u_0, \omega) = \{u \in L_2(I) | \|u - u_0\| \leq \omega\}$. Because S_0 is a convex set, the line segment $\bar{u}_0 u_1$ is contained in S_0 , and $\bar{u}_0 u_1$ intersects the boundary curve of $B(u_0, \omega)$.

By Lemma 1, mapping S_ε is open at $\varepsilon = 0$. There exist two sequences $(u_n \in S_n)_N, (v_n \in S_n)_N$ and $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$, $\|u_n - u_0\| < \omega$, $\|v_n - u_1\| < \omega$. Because S_n are convex sets, for $n \geq n_0$ the line segments $\bar{v}_n u_n$ are contained in S_n . Because $B(u_0, \omega) \cap B(u_1, \omega) = \emptyset$, all line segments $\bar{v}_n u_n$ intersect the boundary curve of $B(u_0, \varepsilon)$.

Now we introduce a variation of the dominated convergence theorem.

LEMMA 3. Let $f_n(u(t), t), f(u(t), t)$ be measurable functions on $[0, T]$, where $u(t) \in E^m$. We set $S = \{u \in L_2([0, T]) | u(t) \in U, \text{ a.e.}\}$, where U is a subset of E^m . Assume that $f_n(u(t), t) \rightarrow f(u(t), t)$, a.e. and for each fixed t the convergence is uniform on U . Assume that there exists a function $u(t) \in L_1([0, T])$ such that for all $u(t) \in U$,

$$|f_n(u(t), t)| \leq u(t), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then $\int_0^T f_n(u(t), t) dt \rightarrow \int_0^T f(u(t), t) dt$, and the convergence is uniform on $u \in S$.

Proof. For each fixed $u \in S$, by the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_0^T f_n(u(t), t) dt \rightarrow \int_0^T f(u(t), t) dt.$$

We want to prove that the convergence is uniform on $u \in S$. Assume that the

conclusion is not true. Then for any $\delta > 0$ and each $k \in N$, there exist $n \geq k$ and functions $u_{n_k} \in S$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^T (f_{n_k}(t, t) - f(u_{n_k}(t), t)) dt \right| > \delta. \quad (4.6)$$

We now set

$$g_{n_k}(t) = f_{n_k}(u_{n_k}(t), t) - f(u_{n_k}(t), t).$$

By the uniform convergence of $f_n(u(t), t)$ on $u(t) \in U$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ we have $g_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow 0$ a.e., and $|g_{n_k}(t)| \leq 2\mu(t)$. By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^T g_{n_k}(t) dt \rightarrow 0.$$

This contradicts (4.6) and the proof is complete.

LEMMA 4. *Assume that the conditions (a)–(c) are satisfied. Let $x(n, u, t)$, $x(0, u, t)$ be the solutions of (2.1) at $\varepsilon = 0$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$, respectively. Given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, for almost $t \in [0, T]$, $x(n, u, t)$ converges to $x(0, u, t)$ uniformly on (S_0, α) .*

Proof. For any (S_0, α) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(n, u, t) - x(0, u, t)| \\ & \leq \int_0^t |\hat{f}(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau) - \hat{f}(0, x(0, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau)| d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^T |\hat{f}(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau) - \hat{f}(0, x(n, u, \tau), v(\tau), \tau)| d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_0^T |\hat{f}(0, x(n, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau) - \hat{f}(0, x(0, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau)| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By condition (c), for any $\theta_t > 0$, there exists n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$|\hat{f}(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau) - \hat{f}(0, x(n, u, \tau), u(\tau), \tau)| < \theta_t/T \cdot \exp \int_0^T \lambda(t) dt.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(n, u, t) - x(0, u, t)| \\ & < \int_0^t \theta_t/T \exp \int_0^T \lambda(t) dt d\tau + \int_0^t \lambda(\tau) |x(n, u, \tau) - x(0, u, \tau)| d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwell's lemma [5],

$$|x(n, u, t) - x(0, u, t)| < \int_0^t \exp \left(\int_\tau^t \lambda(\eta) d\eta \right) \cdot \theta_t / T \exp \int_0^T \lambda(n) dn d\tau < \theta_t, \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Because $u \in (S_0, \alpha)$ is arbitrary, for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $x(n, u, t)$ converges to $x(0, u, t)$ uniformly on (S_0, α) .

LEMMA 5. Assume that the conditions (a)–(c) are satisfied. Given $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, $J(\varepsilon_n, u)$ converges to $J(0, u)$ uniformly on (S_0, α) .

Proof. In condition (b), $\gamma(t)$ is integrable and finite for almost all $t \in [0, T]$. Given $t \in [0, T]$ (except a set with measure zero), for any $\theta_t > 0$ there exists $n' \in N$ such that for $n \geq n'$,

$$|x(n, u, t) - x(0, u, t)| < \theta_t, \quad \forall u \in (S_0, \alpha).$$

By condition (c), there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0 \geq n'$,

$$|f_0(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, t), u(t), t) - f_0(0, x(n, u, t), u(t), t)| < \theta_t, \\ \forall u(t) \in U(U(0), t).$$

Hence for $n \geq n_0$,

$$|f_0(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, t), u(t), t) - f_0(0, x(0, u, t), u(t), t)| \\ \leq |f_0(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, t), u(t), t) - f_0(0, x(n, u, t), u(t), t)| \\ + |f_0(0, x(n, u, t), u(t), t) - f_0(0, x(0, u, t), u(t), t)| \\ \leq \theta_t + \gamma(t)|x(n, u, t) - x(0, u, t)| < (1 + \gamma(t)) \theta_t, \quad \forall u(t) \in U(U(0), \alpha).$$

Since $\gamma(t)$ is finite for fixed t , for almost every t in $[0, T]$, $f_0(\varepsilon_n, x(n, u, t), u(t), t)$ converges to $f_0(0, u, t), u(t), t$ uniformly on $u(t) \in U(U(0), t)$. By Lemma 3 the functional $J(\varepsilon_n, u)$ converges to $J(0, u)$ uniformly on (S_0, α) .

THEOREM 1. Let $P(\varepsilon)(0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1)$ be a family of the optimal control problems that satisfy the global assumptions (a)–(c), and the following assumptions:

(I). There exist at least two measurable functions u_1, u_0 such that $u_1(t), u_0(t) \in U(0)$, a.e. and $\|u_1 - u_0\| = \alpha > 0$, where α is a constant.

(II). Let u_0 be a local minimum of $P(0)$. There exists a strict increasing real-valued function $\tau(\xi)(\tau(0) = 0)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that for a sufficiently small $\Delta > 0$,

$$J(0, u) - J(0, u_0) \geq \tau(\|u - u_0\|), \quad \forall u \in B(u_0, \Delta) \cap (S_0, \alpha). \quad (4.7)$$

(III). For each $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, $J(\varepsilon, u)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $B(u_0, \Delta) \cap (S_0, \alpha)$. Then for any $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, there exists the sequence u_n of the local minimum solutions of $P(\varepsilon_n)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, by condition (a) there exists $n' \in N$ such that for $n \geq n'$, $U(\varepsilon_n) \subset U(U(0), \alpha)$, and $S_n \subset (S_0, \alpha)$. Without loss of generality we could suppose that $U(\varepsilon_n) \subset U(U(0), \alpha)$ and $S_n \subset (S_0, \alpha)$, $\forall n \in N$.

For any positive number ω : $0 < \omega < \min\{\alpha/2, \Delta\}$, by Lemmas 1 and 2 we have $S_0^1 \neq \emptyset$, $S_n^1 \neq \emptyset$, and the functional $J(0, u)$ satisfies inequality (4.7) for $u \in B(u_0, \omega) \cap (S_0, \alpha)$. By Lemma 5 there exists $n_1 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_1$,

$$|J(\varepsilon_n, u) - J(0, u)| < \frac{\tau(\omega)}{4}, \quad \forall u \in (S_0, \alpha). \quad (4.8)$$

Since (4.7), (4.8) hold for $\forall n \geq n_1$ and $\forall u \in S'_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(\varepsilon_n, u_0) &< J(0, u_0) + \frac{\tau(\omega)}{4} \leq J(0, u) - \tau(\omega) + \frac{\tau(\omega)}{4} \\ &< J(\varepsilon_n, u) - \tau(\omega) + \frac{\tau(\omega)}{4} + \frac{\tau(\omega)}{4} = J(\varepsilon_n, u) - \frac{\tau(\omega)}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$J(\varepsilon_n, u_0) < \inf\{J(\varepsilon_n, u) | u \in S_n^1\}. \quad (4.9)$$

Because u_0 may not belong to S_n and S_ε is open at $\varepsilon = 0$, there exists a sequence $(u_n \in S_n)_N$ such that u_n converges to u_0 . By Lemma 5 we have $J(\varepsilon_n, u_n) \rightarrow J(0, u_0)$. Hence there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$J(\varepsilon_n, u_n) < \inf\{J(\varepsilon_n, u) | u \in S_n^1\}, \quad (4.10)$$

and $u_n \in S_n \cap \dot{B}(u_0, \alpha)$ for $\forall n \geq n_0$, where $\dot{B}(u_0, \omega)$ is the interior of $B(u_0, \omega)$.

Equation (4.10) provides that if $J(\varepsilon_n, u)$ have minimum solutions on $S_n \cap B(u_0, \omega)$, then this solution belongs to $\dot{B}(u_0, \omega)$, because $B(u_0, \omega) \subset L_2(I)$ is a weakly compact set and S_n is a weakly closed set of $L_2(I)$, so that $B(u_0, \omega) \cap S_n$ is a weakly compact set. By condition (III), $J(\varepsilon_n, u)$ has a minimum solution \bar{u}_n on $B(u_0, \omega) \cap S_n = S'_n$ and $\bar{u}_n \in \dot{B}(u_0, \omega)$, $\forall n \geq n_0$. So there exists a real number sequence $(\omega_n)_N$, $\omega_n < \omega$ such that $B(\bar{u}_n, \omega_n) \subset \dot{B}(u_0, \omega)$. Therefore, \bar{u}_n is a local minimum solution of $P(\varepsilon_n)$. Since $\omega > 0$, owing to its arbitrariness (sufficiently small), obviously the sequence $(\bar{u}_n)_N$ may be selected to make \bar{u}_n converge to u_0 .

REFERENCES

1. J. CULLUM, Perturbations and approximations of continuous optimal control problems, in "Mathematical Theory of Control" (A. V. Balakrishnan and W. Neustadt, Eds.), Academic Press, New York/London, 1967.
2. J. CULLUM, Perturbations of optimal control problems, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **4** (1966), 473-487.
3. F. M. KIRILLOVA, On the correctness of the formulation of an optimal control problem, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **1** (1963), 224-239.
4. D. H. HYERS, On the stability of minimum points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **62** (1978), 530-537.
5. G. SANSONE AND R. CONTI, "Non-Linear Differential Equations," Pergammon, New York, 1964.